

## Board of Directors Meeting

# July 22, 2025 2:00pm



#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

**Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority** 

- DATE: July 22, 2025
- LOCATION:Rivanna Administration Building (2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Conference Room),<br/>695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, VA 22902
- TIME: 2:00 p.m.

#### AGENDA

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. AGENDA APPROVAL
- 3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING ON MAY 27, 2025
- 4. RECOGNITION
- 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT
- 6. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC Matters Not Listed for Public Hearing on the Agenda

#### 7. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENTS

#### 8. CONSENT AGENDA

- a. Staff Report on Finance
- b. Staff Report on Ivy Solid Waste and Recycling Center
- c. Staff Report on Administration and Communications
- d. Approval of Contract for Professional Engineering Services SCS Engineers

#### 9. OTHER BUSINESS

a. Presentation and Consider Vote to Approve; Lithium Battery Collection Program Phil McKalips, Director of Solid Waste b. Presentation and Consider Vote to Approve; Credit, Debit and Check Payment Program at the Ivy SWRC Stephanie Deal, Finance Manager

(Combined Session with the RWSA)

c. Presentation: Succession Management and Strategic Plan Update Betsy Nemeth, Director of Administration and Communications

#### 10. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON THE AGENDA

#### 11. CLOSED MEETING - SECURITY UPDATE and PERSONNEL REVIEW

(Motion, second and roll call vote to enter into a joint closed session to discuss confidential information related to cybersecurity and the security of the authorities' physical premises as permitted by the public safety exemptions at Section 2.2-3711-A(19) of the Code of Virginia and confidential performance evaluations, goals and objectives of specific personnel as permitted by the personnel exemption at Section 2.2-3711-A(1) of the Code of Virginia).

#### Motion \*:

I move that the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority enter into a joint closed session with the Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority to discuss confidential information related to cybersecurity and the security of the authorities' physical premises as permitted by the public safety exemptions at Section 2.2-3711-A(19) of the Code of Virginia and confidential performance evaluations, goals and objectives of specific personnel as permitted by the personnel exemption at Section 2.2-3711-A(1) of the Code of Virginia.

(Motion, second and roll call vote to certify the closed session)

#### Motion \*:

The Rivanna Solid Waste Authority hereby certifies by recorded vote that, to the best of each member's knowledge, only public business matters lawfully exempted from the open meeting requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act and identified in the motion authorizing the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies.

\* Closed meeting motion subject to change\*

(Complete and close the RWSA meeting, then complete and close the RSWA meeting

#### 12. ADJOURNMENT

#### GUIDELINES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AT RIVANNA BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETINGS

If you wish to address the Rivanna Board of Directors during the time allocated for public comment, please raise your hand or stand when the Chairman asks for public comments.

Members of the public requesting to speak will be recognized during the specific time designated on the meeting agenda for "Items From The Public, Matters Not Listed for Public Hearing on the Agenda." Each person will be allowed to speak for up to three minutes. When two or more individuals are present from the same group, it is recommended that the group designate a spokesperson to present its comments to the Board and the designated speaker can ask other members of the group to be recognized by raising their hand or standing. Each spokesperson for a group will be allowed to speak for up to five minutes.

During public hearings, the Board will attempt to hear all members of the public who wish to speak on a subject, but it must be recognized that on rare occasion comments may have to be limited because of time constraints. If a previous speaker has articulated your position, it is recommended that you not fully repeat the comments and instead advise the Board of your agreement. The time allocated for speakers at public hearings are the same as for regular Board meetings, although the Board can allow exceptions at its discretion.

Speakers should keep in mind that Board of Directors meetings are formal proceedings and all comments are recorded on tape. For that reason, speakers are requested to speak from the podium and wait to be recognized by the Chairman. In order to give all speakers proper respect and courtesy, the Board requests that speakers follow the following guidelines:

- Wait at your seat until recognized by the Chairman.
- Come forward and state your full name and address and your organizational affiliation if speaking for a group;
- Address your comments to the Board as a whole;
- State your position clearly and succinctly and give facts and data to support your position;
- Summarize your key points and provide the Board with a written statement, or supporting rationale, when possible;
- If you represent a group, you may ask others at the meeting to be recognized by raising their hand or standing;
- Be respectful and civil in all interactions at Board meetings;
- The Board may ask speakers questions or seek clarification, but recognize that Board meetings are not a forum for public debate; Board Members will not recognize comments made from the audience and ask that members of the audience not interrupt the comments of speakers and remain silent while others are speaking so that other members in the audience can hear the speaker;
- The Board will have the opportunity to address public comments after the public comment session has been closed;
- At the request of the Chairman, the Executive Director may address public comments after the session has been closed as well; and
- As appropriate, staff will research questions by the public and respond through a report back to the Board at the next regular meeting of the full Board. It is suggested that citizens who have questions for the Board or staff submit those questions in advance of the meeting to permit the opportunity for some research before the meeting.

The agendas of Board meetings, and supporting materials, are available from the RWSA/RSWA Administration office upon request or can be viewed on the Rivanna website.

Rev. September 7, 2022



#### RSWA BOARD OF DIRECTORS Minutes of Regular Meeting May 27, 2025

A regular meeting of the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority (RSWA) Board of Directors was held
on Tuesday, May 27, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. at the Rivanna Administration Building (2nd Floor
Conference Room), 695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, VA 22902.

Board Members Present: Mike Gaffney, Brian Pinkston, Jim Andrews, Sam Sanders (arrived at 2:02 p.m.), Steven Hicks, Jeffrey Dumars.

- 12 Board Members Absent: Jeff Richardson (Ann E. Wall attended as an alternate).
- 14 Rivanna Staff Present: Bill Mawyer, Phil McKalips, Lonnie Wood, David Tungate, Leah
  15 Beard, Deborah Anama, Jacob Woodson.
- 16

22

24

27

29

13

17 Attorney(s) Present: Valerie Long

#### 18 19 *1. CALL TO ORDER*

Mr. Gaffney convened the May 27, 2025 regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the
Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority at 2:00 p.m.

23 2. AGENDA APPROVAL

Mr. Andrews moved that the Board approve the agenda as presented. Steven Hicks seconded
the motion, which carried unanimously (5-0). (Mr. Richardson and Mr. Sanders were absent)

28 3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING ON MARCH 25, 2025

30 Mr. Andrews moved that the Board approve the minutes for the March 25, 2025 meeting. Mr.
31 Pinkston seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (5-0). (Mr. Richardson and Mr.
32 Sanders were absent)
33

#### 34 4. RECOGNITIONS

35

36 There were none.

37

#### 38 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

39

40 Mr. Mawyer stated that this was a significant day as they completed the public hearings and

41 approved budgets for the Authorities. He stated that they had introduced these plans to the

42 Authorities earlier this year, and staff had been working on them since September of last year. He

43 stated that they were pleased to have reached this point in the budget process.

44

45 Mr. Mawyer stated that at the Ivy Solid Waste and Recycling Center they continued to make

46 progress with approximately 220 tons of waste processed per day, which was about 50% more than

47 they were receiving four years ago and six times more than they received in 2018 when the new

48 transfer station was built. He stated that they had a video that would show a fire on the floor of the

1

2

3

4

- 49 transfer station. He stated they would see the tractor run over the materials, and there was a fire due 50 to a lithium battery.
- 51

52 Mr. Mawyer stated that they had had two floor fires and two trailer fires in the last month, all 53 attributed to lithium batteries. He stated that they had a trailer destroyed in a fire and another trailer 54 that caught on fire on its way to Henrico County.

55

56 Mr. Mawyer stated that in 2019, they had extensive damage to the transfer station from another fire.

57 He stated that they were now bringing trailers out of the Transfer Station every night and storing

58 them in the parking area to minimize the risk of fire damage to the building. He stated that Mr.

59 McKalips was looking to find ways to minimize these fires, including a lithium battery disposal

60 program. He stated that they were looking into offering a disposal site for people to dispose of 61 lithium batteries and exploring the operational and budget implications.

62

63 Mr. Mawyer stated that they had a successful spring Special Collections season with almost 400

64 cars participating in the Electronic Waste Day. He stated that they had a successful Household

65 Hazardous Waste Two-Day Event with over 1,100 customers. He stated they received 27,000

- 66 pounds of furniture and mattresses, and 16,000 pounds of appliances. He stated that 183 vehicles 67 brought tires. He stated that they planned to continue these programs in the fall, which was included
- 68 in the budget.
- 69

70 Mr. Mawyer stated that there had been discussions about expanding these programs to include more

71 E-waste and HHW days, but they needed to consider the additional expenses involved. He stated

72 that the combined cost of household hazardous waste and electronic waste for the three-day event

73 was approximately \$80,000. He stated that although it was free to customers, the City and County

74 shared these costs. He stated that they were pleased that the solar panel project at Ivy was underway.

75 He stated that they had leased the property to a private vendor in 2017, and since then, the vendor sold the project to Dominion Energy.

76

77

78 Mr. Mawyer stated that Dominion was currently constructing solar panels on approximately 14

79 acres of the landfill cell. He stated that the top-left picture showed the gabion baskets, which were 80 the foundations that the solar cells sat on. He stated that these structures did not impact the landfill 81 cap. He stated that the project was expected to be completed this fall, generating approximately

- 82 three megawatts of electricity which would be fed into the grid.
- 83 84 Mr. Mawyer stated that in the past month Mr. McKalips attended the Virginia Solid Waste

85 Authorities meeting where a group of authorities discussed issues, challenges, and opportunities. He

86 stated that Mr. McKalips also attended the Virginia Recycling Association annual conference in

- 87 Charlottesville earlier this month, providing an opportunity for networking.
- 88

89 Mr. Andrews stated that it would be nice to see the yearly trends on household hazardous waste and 90 electronic waste.

91

92 Mr. Mawyer stated they could provide those trends. 93

94 Mr. Gaffney asked if the lithium battery issue was happening across the country. 95

- 96 Mr. Mawyer stated that it was.
- 97

- 98 Mr. McKalips stated that it was big problem throughout Virginia and across the nation. He stated
- 99 that their hauling companies lost about two trailers per month due to fires.
- 101 Mt. Gaffney asked if everyone was going to implement a lithium battery collection program.
- Mr. McKalips stated that it had been a disjointed response. He stated that Republic Services andother providers wanted a unified response across the state and nationally.
- 105

100

102

- 106 Mr. Mawyer stated that they would coordinate with the network they had and explore the possibility107 of finding a common solution.
- 108

109 Mr. Mawyer stated that they were hoping that people would voluntarily bring the batteries to

- designated locations, similar to how they did with plastic goods and other recyclable products. He
- stated that this would be the focus of the media outreach and education efforts they planned, aiming
- 112 to encourage and inform the public about the challenges and dangers associated with these batteries. 113 He stated that if they were able to establish a disposal site, they would provide an alternative option
- He stated that if they were able to establish a disposal site, they would provide an alternative option for people to dispose of their batteries, rather than simply throwing them away in with their trash.
- 114
- Mr. Mawyer stated that it was not foolproof, and they would not capture all of the batteries, but they could minimize the issue. He stated that the education program would need to inform people about what a lithium battery was, where it was commonly found, and which devices typically had those
- 118 what a lithium batter119 types of batteries.
- 120
- 121 6. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC 122
- 123 There were none.
- 124
  125 7. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENTS
  126
- 127 As there were no items from the public, there were no responses.
- 128 129 *8. CONSENT AGENDA* 130
  - a. Staff Report on Finance
  - b. Staff Report on Ivy Solid Waste and Recycling
    - c. Staff Report on Administration and Communications
- 135 136

131

132 133

134

- Mr. Sanders moved that the Board approve the consent agenda as presented. Mr. Andrews
  seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Richardson was absent)
- 139 140
  - 9. OTHER BUSINESS
- 141 142
- a. Presentation, Public Hearing, and Consider Vote to Approve: Resolution to Adopt the FY 2025-2026 Rate Schedule and FY 2025 2026 Budget
- 143 144

145 Lonnie Wood, Finance and Information Technology Division Director, stated that the Authority

146 provides several key services, with refuse disposal being the largest. He stated that they processed 147 approximately 130 million pounds last year of municipal solid waste through the transfer station. He 148 stated that in addition, they offered post-closure landfill care, operated three recycling convenience

149 centers, and accepted vegetative debris to convert it into mulch. He stated that they also had a paint

150 collection program and a bulky clean fill program, in which they had processed about 284 million

- 151 pounds last year.
- 152

153 Mr. Wood stated that the budget objectives for this year include supporting the design and 154 construction of two new facilities: a new baling facility and the Northern Convenience Center. He

155 stated that they were also upgrading the scale house and converting garage space into administrative

156 space at Ivy. He stated that they were upgrading the charge ticketing system. He stated that long-

157 term planning for the transfer station facilities was funded in the budget. He stated that they

158 continued to replace equipment and assess the equipment's condition as part of ongoing expenditures.

159 160

161 Mr. Wood stated that they had a permit modification to accommodate long-term remediation

162 management at the closed landfill. He stated there were no new positions in this year's budget. He 163 stated that they were anticipating a net loss of nearly \$5 million, a \$732,000 increase from last year's

164

net loss. He stated the shaded area at the bottom showed the breakdown of the net loss allocation

- 165 between the City, County, and UVA. He stated that these costs were allocated through various 166 agreements.
- 167

168 Mr. Wood stated that the budget changes to reach this \$732,000 additional deficit are shown on the 169 slide. He stated that they were implementing a \$2 per ton tip fee increase for MSW, which will

170 generate approximately \$200,000 in additional revenue. He stated that the bulky clean fill program

171 was slowing down, resulting in a reduction of \$125,000 in revenue. He stated that recycling

172 materials and material sales were also decreasing, primarily due to lower prices for fiber, plastic,

173 and cans. He stated that personnel increases were expected with a 3% merit and 2% COLA going

174 into effect next year. He stated that they had invested \$75,000 in new maintenance and groundwater wells this year.

175 176

177 Mr. Wood stated that they had also allocated funds for engineering services to support the transfer 178 station's planning. He stated that the transfer station was wearing out faster than anticipated, so they 179 were planning future needs to keep that facility operating. He stated that the depreciation costs were 180 decreasing, resulting in a \$50,000 increase. He stated that dry hydrant and HHW costs were 181 increasing to approximately \$30,000 each, primarily due to the new paper sort facility. He stated 182 that the HHW program was a popular initiative, and the costs were increasing. He stated the MSW 183 contract costs were rising by approximately 3% per ton, resulting in an additional \$253,000 in costs

184 for next year.

185

186 Mr. Wood stated that administrative allocations were increasing, with roughly 90% of this increase 187 coming from the water and sewer authority charge. He stated that the largest changes in the CIP 188 were attributed to the paper sort facility and the Northern Convenience Center. He stated that the 189 remaining items were typically routine, including environmental initiatives, maintenance, and 190 stormwater improvements at community centers.

191

192 Mr. Pinkston asked where the debt service factored in.

193

194 Mr. Wood stated that the Authority had no debt service. He stated that in the past, they had

195 attempted to explore funding options, but it would have been too expensive. He stated that the

196 baling facility costs would be split between the City and the County. He stated that they would

197 direct bill for reimbursement costs.

198 199 Mr. Wood stated that the two main projects they had recently discussed were the baling facility, 200 which was being constructed in the back portion of the old landfill, and the Northern Convenience 201 Center, which was in the planning stage. He stated that the solar panels were also being 202 implemented. He stated that although they did not allocate significant funds for this project, it was a 203 major construction undertaking that was currently underway. He stated that they had generated 204 approximately \$40,000 in revenue from this project to date, and once it was operational, they would 205 receive a one-time payment of \$100,000, followed by annual revenue of around \$11,000 to \$12,000. 206 207 Mr. Wood stated that the scale house at Ivy was approximately 15 to 20 years old and had served its 208 purpose, requiring replacement or upgrade. He stated that they hoped the new charge capture system 209 and ticketing system would help expedite this process by utilizing new saving measures and other 210 account-related benefits. He stated that the proposed rate schedule included only two rate increases, 211 which they had previously mentioned: a \$2 per ton increase in MSW and CDD rates, and all other 212 rates would remain unchanged. He stated these rates had been advertised in the Daily Progress for 213 this month. He stated that the final steps were to hold a public hearing, adopt the rates, and adopt the 214 budget. 215 216 Mr. Gaffney opened the public hearing for comments from the public. There were no speakers, so

- 217 he closed the public hearing.
- 218
- Mr. Pinkston moved that the Board approve the rate schedule for fiscal year 2025-2026,
  effective July 1, 2025, by the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority. Mr. Andrews seconded the
  motion, which carried unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Richardson was absent)

## Mr. Hicks moved that the Board adopt the fiscal year 2025-2026 budget. Mr. Pinkston seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Richardson was absent)

225 226

227

b. Presentation and Consider Vote to Approve: FY 2025- 2026 Personnel Management Plan Update: Leah Beard, Human Resources Manager

228 229 Leah Beard, HR Manager, stated that as part of the compensation study, a strategic plan and 230 workforce development priority was to regularly review the total benefits package to ensure it was 231 aligned and competitive with their peer organizations. She stated that after reviewing local 232 organizations, they were proposing a couple of changes. She stated that first, they were increasing 233 sick time accrual from 3.69 to 4.62 hours per pay period, equivalent to the City, which would equate 234 to approximately 3 additional sick days per year for employees working an 8-hour schedule. She 235 stated that this change would provide a significant benefit to their employees, particularly those who 236 worked 12-hour shifts, as it would give them a substantial amount of sick time.

237

Ms. Beard stated that they were also requesting to match their VRS hybrid plan with their Plan 1 and Plan 2 plans. She stated that currently, hybrid employees were capped at 480 hours of sick time to carry from year-to-year, while Plan 1 and Plan 2 employees had unlimited sick time rollover each year. She stated that they were requesting to remove the cap for hybrid employees to ensure that their benefits were equal. She stated that they were also requesting to add paid parental leave,

- 243 providing 80 hours of paid parental leave for individuals, with certain exclusions and requirements.
- 244 She stated that the main requirement was that the leave should be used within the first 90 days of the
- birth or adoption.
- 246

247 Ms. Beard stated that this leave can be used in conjunction with short-term disability, paid time off, 248 and accrued sick time. She stated that they were also requesting to increase the retirement payout for 249 hybrid employees, replacing the current retirement service bonus of \$200 per year of service with a 250 percentage of accrued sick time. She stated that they were also increasing the maximum payment 251 for accrued sick leave from \$5,000 to \$6,500, which would be a percentage of the employee's time 252 employed by them. 253 254 Ms. Beard stated that finally, they proposed two additional changes to the volunteer leave policy. 255 She stated that they had incorporated this change over the past year and a half, and now they were 256 refining the language to better define the eligible locations for using volunteer time. She stated that 257 to align with the state's guidelines, volunteer time cannot be used at political organizations or 258 religiously affiliated organizations. She stated that they were also establishing a minimum six-259 month requirement for employees to utilize this benefit. She stated that they were also adding a 260 safety violation caveat to the disciplinary policy. 261 262 Mr. Pinkston asked why they proposed only 2 weeks of parental leave. He stated that UVA 263 provided 8 weeks. 264 265 Ms. Beard stated that the City provided 2 weeks of parental leave, so that was why they proposed 2 266 weeks. 267 268 Mr. Pinkston asked for clarification about the safety caveat to the disciplinary policy. 269 270 Ms. Beard stated that adding a safety caveat to their disciplinary policy was because, in many cases, 271 when someone had a safety violation, they received coaching. She stated that they wanted to include 272 this in their policy to provide a progressive step for individuals who continued to have the same 273 safety violation, ensuring they received more formal consequences if necessary. 274 275 Mr. Mawyer stated that they had a comprehensive disciplinary process in place. He stated that the 276 steps included verbal warnings, followed by written warnings, and then increasing levels of 277 suspension, culminating in termination if necessary. 278 279 Mr. Pinkston moved that the Board approve the recommended updates to the employee 280 Personnel Management Plan to be effective on July 1, 2025. Mr. Dumars seconded the motion, 281 which carried unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Richardson was absent) 282 283 10. OTHER ITEMS FROM THE BOARD/STAFF NOT ON THE AGENDA 284 285 There were none. 286 287 **11. CLOSED MEETING** 288 289 There was no reason to go into a closed meeting. 290 291 **12. ADJOURNMENT** 292 293 Mr. Andrews moved that the Board adjourn. Mr. Pinkston seconded the motion, which 294 carried unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Richardson was absent)



#### TO: RIVANNA SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

DATE: JULY 22, 2025

STRATEGIC PLAN PRIORITY: OPTIMIZATION AND RESILIENCY

#### Transfers from the Ivy Solid Waste and Recycling Center:

Average daily refuse transfer volume has increased from 154 tons per day in June 2021 to 225 tons per day in June 2025, as shown below:

May 2025	<u>Vehicles</u> 8,354	<u>Avg MSW &amp; CDD Tons/Day</u> 228
June 2025	<u>Vehicles</u> 10,123	Avg MSW & CDD Tons/Day 225



#### STRATEGIC PLAN PRIORITY: ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP



#### **Special Collection Trends**







#### STRATEGIC PLAN PRIORITY: INFRASTRUCTURE AND PLANNING

#### **Solar Panels at ISWRC**

Installation of solar panels on the closed landfill cells, on land leased by Dominion Energy, is progressing, with an expected completion date in August and connection to the electrical grid in September.



#### **Baling Facility Update**

Sitework is underway for construction of the new Baling Facility at Ivy SWRC.



#### STRATEGIC PLAN PRIORITY: COMMUNICATION AND COLLABORATION

#### AWWA/VWEA Annual Joint Safety Committee Seminar





On June 27<sup>th</sup>, our Safety Manager, George Cheape, attended the Virginia AWWA/VWEA Annual Joint Safety Committee Seminar: "Safety First, Because Injuries Last!" in Roanoke. This all-day event included a session on heavy equipment safety.

The committee's goal is to bring together Virginia's water and wastewater professionals to develop and inform on safety issues that affect people who work in the water sector and the communities they serve.



#### First Aid, CPR, and AED Training



CPR, AED and First Aid training was provided for our staff. This half-day training followed the curriculum of the American Heart Association Heartsaver First Aid CPR AED Program with a 2-year certification. We were pleased to have 5 RSWA staff members participating in this on-site training and certification.



#### TO: RIVANNA SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

### FROM: LONNIE WOOD, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

**REVIEWED: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 

SUBJECT: MAY 2025 FINANCIAL SUMMARY

DATE: JULY 22, 2025

Total operating revenues for the first eleven months of this fiscal year totaled \$5,278,400 and total operating expenses were \$8,957,800, which resulted in a \$3,679,400 net operating loss. Funding support for operations and remediation of \$4,235,100 has been received through May. The Authority has processed 204,896 tons of waste and recyclables. A breakdown of net revenue or cost per ton, including overhead and administrative support costs, is shown below.

	lvy Op	erations	lvy	Transfer	<u>R</u>	Recycling		<u>Total</u>
Tonnage	1	43,057		59,820		2,018		204,896
Net operating income (loss)	\$ (1	65,062)	\$ (	999,199)	\$(1	1,367,473)	\$(2,	531,734)
Net operating income (loss) per ton	\$	(1.15)	\$	(16.70)	\$	(677.51)	\$	(12.36)

Attachments

#### Rivanna Solid Waste Authority Revenue and Expense Summary Report FY 2025

			For	May 2025		
	Budget FY 2025	Budget YTD		Actual YTD	Variance \$	Variance %
Revenues						
Ivy Operations Tipping Fees Ivy Environmental Revenues	\$ 1,360,450 -	\$ 1,247,079 -	\$	1,231,066 -	\$ (16,013) -	-1.28%
Ivy MSW Transfer Tipping Fees	3,804,850	3,487,779		3,659,358	171,579	4.92%
County Convenience Centers	60,000	55,000		55,918	918	1.67%
Recycling Revenues	285,000	261,250		197,046	(64,204)	-24.58%
Other Revenues Administration	80,000	73,333		134,977	61,644	84.06%
Total Revenues	\$ 5,590,300	\$ 5,124,442	\$	5,278,364	\$ 153,923	3.00%
Expenses					<i>(, , ,</i>	
Ivy Operations	\$ 1,099,541	\$ 1,007,912	\$	1,157,893	\$ (149,980)	-14.88%
Ivy Environmental	1,105,926	1,013,766		861,776	151,990	14.99%
Ivy MSW Transfer	4,659,079	4,270,822		4,420,322	(149,500)	-3.50%
County Convenience Centers	828,216	759,198		710,625	48,573	6.40%
Recycling Operations	841,582	771,450		719,224	52,227	6.77%
Administration	 1,291,034	1,183,448		1,087,915	95,532	8.07%
Total Expenses	\$ 9,825,378	\$ 9,006,596	\$	8,957,755	\$ 48,841	0.54%
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (4,235,078)	\$ (3,882,155)	\$	(3,679,391)	\$ 202,764	5.22%
Other Funding Sources						
Local Government Support	\$ 2,765,841	\$ 2,535,355	\$	2,765,842	\$ 230,487	9.09%
Environmental Support	1,469,237	1,346,800		1,469,236	122,436	9.09%
Subtotal	\$ 4,235,078	\$ 3,882,155	\$	4,235,078	\$ 352,923	9.09%
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 	\$ 	\$	555,687	\$ 555,687	

Local Support Detail			Annualized Payments		rue-up Est.	
				Due t	o / (Due from)	
County - Ivy Operations	\$ 41,849	\$ 38,362	\$ 38,362	\$	(126,700)	
County - Ivy Transfer	1,156,987	1,060,572	1,060,572		61,373	
County - Convenience Centers	768,216	704,198	704,198		49,490	
County - Recycling	559,152	512,556	512,556		13,620	
County - Environmental MOU	896,069	821,397	896,069		-	
	\$ 3,422,274	\$ 3,137,084	\$ 3,211,757	\$	(2,216)	
City - Recycling	\$ 239,637	\$ 219,667	\$ 219,667		5,837	
City - Environmental MOU	 493,185	 452,087	 493,185		<u> </u>	
	\$ 732,822	\$ 671,754	\$ 712,852	\$	5,837	
UVa - Environmental MOU	\$ 79,982	\$ 73,317	\$ 79,982	\$	-	
Total Local Support	\$ 4,235,078	\$ 3,882,155	\$ 4,004,591	\$	3,621	

		FY 2025			
	Budget FY 2025	Budget YTD	Actual YTD	Variance \$	Variance %
Ivy Operations	112020		TE	Ψ	70
Payanuaa					
Revenues	<b>* 7</b> 00.000	<b>*</b> ••• <b>7</b> ••••	<b>*</b>	(11,100)	4 740/
Clean fill material	\$ 728,000	\$ 667,333	\$ 655,905	(11,428)	-1.71%
Grindable material	486,000	445,500	472,573	27,073	6.08%
Tires whole	50,350	46,154	7,490	(38,664)	-83.77%
Tires and white good per item	21,100	19,342	37,355	18,013	93.13%
Material Sales	75,000	68,750	57,743	(11,007)	-16.01%
Total Operations Revenues	\$ 1,360,450	\$ 1,247,079	\$ 1,231,066	\$ (16,013)	-1.28%
<u>Expenses</u>					
Personnel Cost	\$ 377,941	\$ 346,446	\$ 374,861	\$ (28,415)	-8.20%
Professional Services	-	-	-	-	
Other Services and Charges	29,700	27,225	37,775	(10,550)	-38.75%
Communications	18,900	17,325	15,563	1,762	10.17%
Information Technology	25,000	22,917	4,881	18,035	78.70%
Vehicles and Equip. Maintenance	82,000	75,167	134,233	(59,066)	-78.58%
Supplies	4,000	3,667	2,287	1,380	37.63%
Operations and Maintenance	362,000	331,833	404,960	(73,127)	-22.04%
Environmental Remediations	-	-	-	(. c, ) -	
Equipment Replacement	200,000	183,333	183,333	(0)	0.00%
Total Operations Expenses	\$ 1,099,541	\$ 1,007,912	\$ 1,157,893	\$ (149,980)	-14.88%
Allocation of Administration Costs	302,758	277,529	238,234	<u> </u>	14.16%
Expenses With Admin Allocations	\$ 1,402,299	\$ 1,285,441	\$ 1,396,127	\$ (110,686)	-8.61%
Expenses Will Admin Anocadons	ψ1,402,233	Ψ 1,200,771	ψ 1,000,127	Ψ (110,000)	-0.01/0
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (41,849)	\$ (38,362)	\$ (165,062)	(126,700) <b>(126,700)</b>	330.27%

	Sum	mary of Lo	ocal S	Support		
County	\$	41,849	\$	38,362	\$ 38,362	\$ (0)
	\$	41,849	\$	38,362	\$ 38,362	\$ (0)

Estimated True-up

\$ (126,700)

			F	Y 2025					
		Budget FY 2025		Budget YTD		Actual YTD	V	/ariance \$	Variance %
Ivy Environmental							-		
Revenues									
Forestry Management Revenue	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		-	
Total Operations Revenues	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Expenses									
Personnel Cost	\$	230,426	\$	211,224	\$	219,676	\$	(8,452)	-4.00%
Professional Services		40,000		36,667		554		36,112	98.49%
Other Services and Charges		8,200		7,517		5,829		1,688	22.46%
Communications		5,300		4,858		1,460		3,399	69.96%
Information Technology		-		-		371		(371)	
Vehicles and Equip. Maintenance		22,000		20,167		20,028		139	0.69%
Supplies		-		-		9		(9)	
Operations and Maintenance		220,000		201,667		154,046		47,620	23.61%
Environmental Remediations		270,000		247,500		175,637		71,863	29.04%
Equipment Replacement		310,000		284,167		284,167		0	0.00%
Total Operations Expenses	\$	1,105,926	\$	1,013,766	\$	861,776	\$	151,990	14.99%
Allocation of Administration Costs	_	363,310	•	333,034		285,881		47,153	14.16%
Expenses With Admin Allocations	\$	1,469,237	\$	1,346,800	\$	1,147,658	\$	199,143	14.79%
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (	1,469,237)	\$ (	1,346,800)	\$(	1,147,658)		199,143	-14.79%

	Sum	mary of Lo	cal Si	upport			
County	\$	896,069	\$	821,397	\$	896,069	\$ (74,672)
City		493,185		452,087		493,185	\$ (41,098)
Uva		79,982		73,317		79,982	(6,665)
	\$ 1	,469,237	\$	1,346,800	\$ <sup>•</sup>	1,469,236	\$ (122,436)

			F	Y 2025					
		Budget		Budget		Actual	V	/ariance	Variance
		FY 2025		YTD		YTD		\$	%
Ivy Transfer Station							_		
Revenues									
MSW / Construction Debris	\$	3,701,850	\$	3,393,363	\$	3,518,329	\$	124,967	3.68%
Compostable Material	Ψ		Ψ	-	Ψ		Ψ	-	0.0070
Service Charges / other revenues		103,000		94,417		141,029		46,612	49.37%
Ū.									
Total Operations Revenues	\$	3,804,850	\$	3,487,779	\$	3,659,358	\$	171,579	4.92%
<b>E</b> venence									
Expenses	•		•		•		•	(07.000)	4 4 - 0 4
Personnel Cost	\$	712,652	\$	653,264	\$	680,492	\$	(27,228)	-4.17%
Professional Services		-		-		978		(978)	04.000/
Other Services and Charges		52,000		47,667		31,136		16,531	34.68%
Communications		16,600		15,217		38,648		. ,	-153.98%
Information Technology		55,000		50,417		1,945		48,471	96.14%
Vehicles and Equip. Maintenance		110,000		100,833		98,365		2,468	2.45%
Supplies		10,000		9,167		6,873		2,294	25.02%
Operations and Maintenance		3,574,327		3,276,466		3,451,885		(175,419)	-5.35%
Environmental Remediations		3,500		3,208		-		3,208	100.00%
Equipment Replacement		125,000		114,583		110,000		4,583	4.00%
Total Operations Expenses	\$	4,659,079	\$	4,270,822	\$	4,420,322	\$	(149,500)	-3.50%
Allocation of Administration Costs		302,758		277,529		238,234		39,294	14.16%
Expenses With Admin Allocations	\$	4,961,837	\$	4,548,351	\$	4,658,557	\$	(110,206)	-2.42%
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(1,156,987)	\$	(1,060,572)	\$	(999,199)		61,373	-5.79%

Support		
5 1,060,572 S	\$ 1,060,572	\$-
-	-	-
6 1,060,572 S	\$ 1,060,572	\$-
	¢ 64.070	
		\$ 61,373

		FY 2025				
	Budget FY 2025	Budget YTD	Actual YTD	۱	/ariance \$	Variance %
County Convenience Centers						
Revenues						
Material Sales	\$ 60,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 55,918	\$	918	1.67%
Total Operations Revenues	\$ 60,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 55,918	\$	918	1.67%
Expenses						
Personnel Cost	\$ 558,716	\$ 512,156	\$ 547,392	\$	(35,236)	-6.88%
Professional Services	-	-	11,174		(11,174)	
Other Services and Charges	16,300	14,942	11,430		3,512	23.51%
Communications	18,200	16,683	20,453		(3,770)	-22.60%
Information Technology	-	-	957		(957)	
Vehicles and Equip. Maintenance	155,000	142,083	55,945		86,138	60.62%
Supplies	-	-	22		(22)	
Operations and Maintenance	15,000	13,750	3,669		10,081	73.32%
Environmental Remediations	-	-	-		-	
Equipment Replacement	 65,000	59,583	59,583		(0)	0.00%
Total Operations Expenses	\$ 828,216	\$ 759,198	\$ 710,625	\$	48,573	6.40%
Allocation of Administration Costs	 -	-	-		-	
Expenses With Admin Allocations	\$ 828,216	\$ 759,198	\$ 710,625	\$	48,573	6.40%
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (768,216)	\$ (704,198)	\$ (654,707)		49,490	-7.03%

	Sum	mary of L	ocal	Support		
County	\$	768,216	\$	704,198	\$ 704,198	\$ -
	\$	768,216	\$	704,198	\$ 704,198	\$ -
Estimated True-up					\$ 49,490	

		Budget FY 2025		Budget YTD	Actual YTD	ν	ariance \$	Variance %
Recycling McIntire & Paper Sort		1 2020					Ŷ	
<b>Revenues</b> Material Sales & other revenues Grants	\$	250,000 35,000	\$	229,167 32,083	\$ 138,349 58,697	\$	(90,818) 26,614	-39.63% 82.95%
Total Operations Revenues	\$	285,000	\$	261,250	\$ 197,046	\$	(64,204)	-24.58%
Expenses								
Personnel Cost	\$	457,432	\$	419,313	\$ 440,986	\$	(21,673)	-5.17%
Professional Services		-		-	6,706		(6,706)	
Other Services and Charges		57,100		52,342	39,028		13,313	25.44%
Communications		3,400		3,117	9,195		(6,078)	-195.01%
Information Technology		-		-	758		(758)	0.00%
Vehicles and Equip. Maintenance		129,600		118,800	70,951		47,849	40.28%
Supplies		1,050		963	17		945	98.19%
Operations and Maintenance		93,000		85,250	59,917		25,333	29.72%
Environmental Remediations		-		-	-		-	0.00%
Equipment Replacement		100,000		91,667	91,667		0	0.00%
Total Operations Expenses	\$	841,582	\$	771,450	\$ 719,224	\$	52,227	6.77%
Allocation of Administration Costs		242,207		222,023	190,588		31,435	14.16%
Expenses With Admin Allocations	\$ ^	1,083,789	\$	993,473	\$ 909,811	\$	83,662	8.42%
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(798,789)	\$	(732,223)	\$ (712,766)		19,458	-2.66%

Summary of Local Support											
County	\$	559,152	\$	512,556	\$	512,556	\$	-			
City		239,637		219,667		219,667	\$	-			
	\$	798,789	\$	732,223	\$	732,223	\$	-			
Estimated True-up - County Estimated True-up - City					\$ \$	13,620 5,837					

	FY 2025								
		Budget FY 2025		Budget YTD		Actual YTD	V	/ariance \$	Variance %
Administration									
<u>Revenues</u>									
Interest revenues	\$	65,000	\$	59,583	\$	117,298	\$	57,715	96.86%
Late Fees		15,000		13,750		17,679		3,929	28.57%
Total Operations Revenues	\$	80,000	\$	73,333	\$	134,977	\$	61,644	84.06%
Expenses									
Personnel Cost	\$	196,634	\$	180,248	\$	201,936	\$	(21,688)	-12.03%
Professional Services		105,000		96,250		33,552		62,698	65.14%
Other Services and Charges		974,700		893,475		834,570		58,905	6.59%
Communications		5,700		5,225		2,216		3,009	57.59%
Information Technology		8,000		7,333		8,973		(1,640)	-22.36%
Vehicles and Equip. Maintenance		-		-		2,276		(2,276)	
Supplies		1,000		917		1,693		(776)	-84.71%
Operations and Maintenance		-		-		2,700		(2,700)	
Environmental Remediations		-		-		-		-	
Equipment Replacement		-		-		-		-	
Subtotal Before Allocations	\$	1,291,034	\$	1,183,448	\$	1,087,915	\$	95,532	8.07%
Net Operating Income (Loss)	\$(	1,211,034)	\$	(1,110,114)	\$	(952,938)		157,176	-14.16%

Allocation to	<b>Cost Centers</b>	(per agreement)	

	Allocation					
	%					
Ivy Operations	25%	\$ 302,758	\$ 277,529	\$ 238,234	\$ 39,294	-21.31%
Ivy Environmental	30%	363,310	333,034	285,881	47,153	-21.31%
Ivy Transfer	25%	302,758	277,529	238,234	39,294	-21.31%
County Convenience Centers	0%	-	-	-	-	
Recycling	<u>20%</u>	242,207	222,023	190,588	31,435	-21.31%
Total Allocation to Cost Centers	100%	\$ 1,211,034	\$ 1,110,114	\$ 952,938	\$ 157,176	-21.31%



#### June 1-30, 2025

Days of								
Operation:	25			MSW	collected at Tra	ansfer Station (	tons)	Non-MSW
		Vehicles	Count	Citizen-Can	Construction	Domestic	MSW Total	Total Tons
06/01/25	Sunday						-	
06/02/25	Monday	471	511	2.25	68.12	251.05	321.42	1,775.39
06/03/25	Tuesday	368	408	0.51	127.37	87.04	214.92	1,245.91
06/04/25	Wednesday	461	451	0.41	85.79	162.06	248.26	2,204.13
06/05/25	Thursday	426	465	1.40	98.00	158.16	257.56	1,893.93
06/06/25	Friday	404	448	0.69	74.10	168.54	243.33	1,562.80
06/07/25	Saturday	295	383	1.04	22.44	77.00	100.48	20.29
06/08/25	Sunday						-	
06/09/25	Monday	448	473	1.72	124.73	172.94	299.39	2,353.29
06/10/25	Tuesday	503	583	0.74	149.67	117.06	267.47	2,468.92
06/11/25	Wednesday	511	496	0.47	185.25	145.58	331.30	2,480.33
06/12/25	Thursday	435	460	1.84	97.29	149.97	249.10	1,867.85
06/13/25	Friday	440	486	0.67	69.99	132.52	203.18	1,573.55
06/14/25	Saturday	280	361	0.96	8.29	44.26	53.51	23.02
06/15/25	Sunday						-	
06/16/25	Monday	270	336	2.26	106.90	244.37	353.53	387.96
06/17/25	Tuesday	349	412	0.39	117.71	105.98	224.08	1,244.10
06/18/25	Wednesday	458	477	0.59	134.18	123.33	258.10	2,693.36
06/19/25	Thursday	422	511	1.62	53.71	150.92	206.25	1,186.49
06/20/25	Friday	360	364	0.47	134.00	96.57	231.04	882.75
06/21/25	Saturday	356	448	0.83	48.39	51.99	101.21	41.80
06/22/25	Sunday						-	
06/23/25	Monday	399	412	1.83	106.71	176.81	285.35	1,750.44
06/24/25	Tuesday	429	452	0.43	108.85	141.06	250.34	2,686.47
06/25/25	Wednesday	382	418	0.52	96.72	65.95	163.19	2,105.01
06/26/25	Thursday	484	548	1.89	48.13	174.18	224.20	2,760.85
06/27/25	Friday	448	510	0.77	47.83	138.21	186.81	2,313.78
06/28/25	Saturday	292	336	0.80	45.57	46.18	92.55	18.52
06/29/25	Sunday						-	
06/30/25	Monday	432	450	2.80	59.89	225.14	287.83	1,510.54
	Total	10,123	11,199	27.90	2,219.63	3,406.87	5,654.40	39,051.48
	Average	405	448	1.12	88.79	136.27	226.18	1562.06
	Average Median	405 426	448 451	0.80	88.79 96.72	136.27 141.06	220.18 243.33	1562.06 1,750.44
								1,750.44 2,760.85
	Maximum	511 270	583 226	2.80	185.25	251.05	353.53	2,760.85 18.52
	Minimum	270	336	0.39	8.29	44.26	53.51	18.52

#### Material Type & Description

*Citizen-Can:* Roll-off container at the Ivy MUC Convenience Center-citizens dispose of prepaid trashbags

Construction: Construction/demolition debris (shingles, sheetrock, treated lumber, etc.)

Count: Transactions per item (appliances, hauling fees, service fees, tag-bag stickers, tires)

**Domestic:** Business/residential general or household waste

**MSW:** Materials processed/handled at the Transfer Station

Non-MSW: Materials processed/handled on-site

Vehicle: Transactions or vehicles processed in a day



#### May 1-31, 2025

Days of								
Operation:	26			MSW	collected at Tr	ansfer Station	(tons)	Non-MSW
		Vehicles	Count	Citizen-Can	Construction	Domestic	MSW Total	Total Tons
05/01/25	Thursday	330	389	1.36	83.27	167.28	251.91	1,294.37
05/02/25	Friday	357	399	0.54	51.44	137.47	189.45	1,779.82
05/03/25	Saturday	261	317	0.72	32.26	53.40	86.38	8.40
05/04/25	Sunday						-	
05/05/25	Monday	386	432	1.72	60.67	254.35	316.74	1,432.25
05/06/25	Tuesday	327	409	0.70	107.70	100.49	208.89	1,508.31
05/07/25	Wednesday	367	460	0.38	205.99	143.00	349.37	1,628.59
05/08/25	Thursday	433	479	1.03	173.23	153.45	327.71	1,978.99
05/09/25	Friday	320	327	0.43	146.45	144.83	291.71	856.44
05/10/25	Saturday	316	349	0.69	19.62	67.37	87.68	21.45
05/11/25	Sunday						-	
05/12/25	Monday	345	363	1.91	96.58	228.33	326.82	1,118.13
05/13/25	Tuesday	164	219	0.21	51.24	150.49	201.94	1.26
05/14/25	Wednesday	162	182	0.28	56.74	122.59	179.61	14.23
05/15/25	Thursday	222	495	1.56	107.28	188.99	297.83	75.40
05/16/25	Friday	265	279	0.69	75.45	141.84	217.98	297.18
05/17/25	Saturday	307	350	1.04	16.09	54.97	72.10	16.37
05/18/25	Sunday						-	
05/19/25	Monday	435	456	2.42	60.00	230.33	292.75	1,773.11
05/20/25	Tuesday	446	498	0.62	123.01	117.95	241.58	1,953.34
05/21/25	Wednesday	195	246	0.46	113.75	145.74	259.95	35.51
05/22/25	Thursday	344	380	1.41	104.23	178.71	284.35	1,245.36
05/23/25	Friday	447	536	0.71	92.29	121.47	214.47	1,949.44
05/24/25	Saturday	347	408	0.84	38.90	65.11	104.85	35.25
05/25/25	Sunday						-	
05/26/25	Monday						-	
05/27/25	Tuesday	418	460	0.68	88.19	297.12	385.99	1,335.35
05/28/25	Wednesday	174	263	0.43	87.68	131.23	219.34	42.36
05/29/25	Thursday	287	346	2.69	66.74	143.82	213.25	357.43
05/30/25	Friday	336	512	0.70	70.46	139.89	211.05	710.98
05/31/25	Saturday	363	539	0.65	25.50	88.23	114.38	7.68
	Total	8,354	10,093	24.87	2,154.76	3,768.45	5,948.08	21,477.00
	. otal	0,001	20,000	2.107	_,	0,700110	0,0 10100	==) ., , ,
	Average	321	388	0.96	82.88	144.94	228.77	826.04
	Median	333	394	0.70	79.36	142.42	218.66	783.71
	Maximum	447	539	2.69	205.99	297.12	385.99	1,978.99
	Minimum	162	182	0.21	16.09	53.40	72.10	1.26

#### Material Type & Description

Citizen-Can: Roll-off container at the Ivy MUC Convenience Center-citizens dispose of prepaid trashbags

Construction: Construction/demolition debris (shingles, sheetrock, treated lumber, etc.)

Count: Transactions per item (appliances, hauling fees, service fees, tag-bag stickers, tires,

**Domestic:** Business/residential general or household waste

**MSW:** Materials processed/handled at the Transfer Station

Non-MSW: Materials processed/handled on-site

Vehicle: Transactions or vehicles processed in a day

Rivanna Solid Waste Authority Ivy MSW Transfer Tonnages FY 2022 - 2025





434.977.2970 434.293.8858 ᆒ www.rivanna.org 🌐

#### **MEMORANDUM**

#### TO: RIVANNA SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: DAVID RHOADES, SOLID WASTE MANAGER PHILLIP MCKALIPS, DIRECTOR OF SOLID WASTE

**REVIEWED BY: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 

SUBJECT: IVY SOLID WASTE AND RECYCLING CENTER REPORT/ RECYCLING OPERATIONS UPDATE

DATE: JULY 22, 2025

#### Ivy Solid Waste And Recycling Center (ISWRC) : DEQ Permit 132: 450 tons/day MSW limit

#### May 2025

- 8,354 vehicles crossed the scales
- The ISWRC transfer station operated for 26 days and received a total of 5,948.08 tons of municipal solid waste (MSW), an average of 228.77 tons per day of operation. The monthly transfer station tonnage figures are attached to this report.
- 21,477.00 tons of non-MSW materials were received
- 27,425.08 tons were received as a combined total tonnage (MSW + non-MSW)

#### June 2025

- 10,123 vehicles crossed the scales
- The ISWRC transfer station operated for 25 days and received a total of 5,654.40 tons of municipal solid waste (MSW), an average of 226.18 tons per day of operation. The monthly transfer station tonnage figures are attached to this report.
- 39,051.48 tons of non-MSW materials were received
- 44,705.88 tons were received as a combined total tonnage (MSW + non-MSW)

#### **Transfer Station Update**

Our average daily tonnages are generally following seasonal trends, as shown in the following figure.



#### <u>Outreach</u>

RSWA staff conducted the following communication and outreach activities:

- April 15 SACC Tour with Red Hill Elementary Kindergarten classes
- April 21 Tour of Ivy Solar project with UVA Engineering Students
- April 30 ISWRC Tour with Greer 1<sup>st</sup> Graders (2 classes)
- May 1 ISWRC Tour with Greer 1<sup>st</sup> Graders (2 classes)

#### **Renewal of MSW Transportation and Disposal Contract**

BFI provides MSW transportation and disposal services from the ISWRC to Republic Services' Old Dominion Landfill in Henrico County. This renewal represents the third one-year term contract renewal and extends services from July 1, 2025, through June 30, 2026. Based on the FY 2025-2026 budget estimate of 65,000 tons for disposal from the ISWRC Transfer Station, this contract will have a cost of approximately \$3.8 M.

This one-year term renewal will begin on July 1, 2025. MSW will be transferred for \$58.08/ton. This is a 3.6% increase over the FY 2025 cost per ton in accordance with our contractual agreement to allow an annual renewal increase equal to the 12-month Consumer Price Index for Water and Sewer and Trash Collection Services.

#### **Background**

A Request for Bid (RFB 390) was developed and advertised on February 23, 2022, for a contract with qualified MSW transportation and disposal firms to serve the ISWRC Transfer Station. Two bids were received on March 18, 2022. The lowest bidder was BFI with a bid of \$50.50/ton. In May 2022, the Board authorized the Executive Director to execute a Term Contract with BFI including up to four annual contract renewals.



#### TO: RIVANNA SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

### FROM: BETSY NEMETH, DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION AND COMMUNICATIONS

#### **REVIEWED BY: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

#### SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATION AND COMMUNICATIONS REPORT

DATE: JULY 22, 2025

#### Human Resources

Fiscal year-to-date turnover for the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority, through June 30, 2025, was 17.9%, which includes one retirement.

Our Personal Management Plan was updated with two changes:

- Section D Compensation Plan & Administration Other Forms of Compensation, On-call Compensation – Defines the minimum amount of time to be compensated for phone calls taken during on-call status as 15 minutes.
- Section G Disciplinary Policy Unsatisfactory Work Performance or Misconduct The Human Resources Department will determine the need for, and type of, disciplinary action based on the circumstances involved. This change will allow for more consistency in disciplinary action practices.

#### <u>Safety</u>

Our Safety Manager attended the annual joint Virginia Section American Water Works Association and Virginia Water Environment Association Seminar in Virginia Beach. He is a member of the joint Safety Committee to assist with safety awareness and education at a state level and to network throughout the state.

Many members of our RSWA team completed their CPR/AED and First Aid training.

#### **Community Outreach**

Our Outreach & Communication Coordinator attended the Community Environmental Education Workshop presented by the Institute for Humane Education in Charlottesville. This workshop discussed how to connect with local teachers and schools and how to design outreach programs.

A newsletter was issued to over 1000 homes and businesses within a two-mile radius of the ISWRC to provide information about the solar array and baling facility which are under construction as well as about the continued ground water monitoring effort.



#### TO: RIVANNA SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

#### FROM: PHILLIP MCKALIPS, DIRECTOR OF SOLID WASTE

#### **REVIEWED BY: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

### SUBJECT:AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL SOLID WASTE<br/>ENGINEERING SERVICES – SCS ENGINEERS, INC.

DATE: JULY 22, 2025

This request is to authorize award of a Term Engineering Services Agreement with SCS Engineers to provide Professional Solid Waste Engineering Services and future Work Authorizations less than \$300,000 under the conditions of the Term Agreement. Fees for each work authorization will be negotiated based on the services required and hourly rates from the consultant which have been approved by staff. The term of the contract will be for one year with the option for three one-year renewals.

#### **Background**

During May and June 2025, RSWA and RWSA solicited proposals (RFP 25-06) from qualified Solid Waste Engineering Services firms. Proposals were received from six firms. Following evaluation and interviews, SCS Engineers was selected as best qualified to provide these services.

Potential Work Authorizations may include:

- Completion of a Transfer Station Strategic Plan to help determine if community or economic growth in Charlottesville or Albemarle County would warrant expanded transfer station capacity.
- Engineering and design support to repair or replace one of the stormwater control basins (SWP-5) at the ISWRC.
- An evaluation of the potential closure of the Leachate Storage Pond at the ISWRC.

#### **Board Action Requested:**

Authorize the Executive Director to execute a Professional Engineering Services Term Agreement with SCS Engineers for Professional Wastewater Treatment Plant Engineering Services and future Work Authorizations less than \$300,000.



#### TO: RIVANNA SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

#### FROM: PHILLIP MCKALIPS, DIRECTOR OF SOLID WASTE

#### **REVIEWED BY: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

#### SUBJECT: LITHIUM BATTERY COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL PROGRAM

#### DATE: JULY 22, 2025

This recommendation is to authorize a Lithium Battery Collection and Disposal Program located at the Ivy SWRC with five local collections at sites within the City and County. The first-year cost for this program would be about \$150,000 and would be allocated in accordance with the 2005 "Environmental MOU" agreement (64.5% County; 35% City). Annual costs for subsequent years would total about \$45,000.

#### Background

As presented in the Executive Director's report during the May 2025 Board of Directors meeting, the Transfer Station at the Ivy Solid Waste and Recycling Center (ISWRC) and waste transfer trailers have experienced several fires that were presumably caused by lithium batteries in the incoming waste including:

- The costliest occurred in 2019 when a fire caused \$171,000 in damage to the Transfer Station.

- In April 2025, a fire resulted in the complete loss (\$120,000) of a waste hauling trailer.

- In May 2025, the waste load in another trailer was discovered to be burning as it was being transported to the landfill.

- There have been two recent fires on the floor of the Transfer Station.

Lithium batteries appear to be the cause of these fires, with the resulting property damage along with safety concerns for our staff and contractors. This repeated loss or threat of a loss of waste hauling trailers has greatly concerned our contractor that transports and disposes of the waste from the Transfer Station. We cannot inspect every load of waste that comes into our facility and have been investigating ways to minimize the quantity of lithium batteries that are disposed of in the refuse we receive. Our semiannual Household Hazardous Waste collection days are helpful but apparently do not provide adequate disposal options for lithium battery waste from the community.

For these reasons, we are proposing a collection and disposal program that would help address the risks of lithium batteries in the waste stream. The first part of the program will include a public information campaign to educate the public about the risks associated with improper disposal of lithium batteries and the types of products which have lithium batteries. This will include a

multimedia campaign using signage, social media, traditional media, and direct mailings. Some of the larger waste management and waste hauling companies may be willing to support this campaign through contributions and grants.

The second part of this program is to increase the availability of lithium battery disposal opportunities by offering a full-time, free-to-residents, lithium battery collection and disposal program at the Ivy Convenience Center. To properly collect and store these batteries, we would need a specialized containment building that is fire-rated and climate controlled (the risk of battery fires increases with higher temperatures). The cost to provide a full-time, free-to-residents, lithium battery collection and disposal program is estimated to be about \$135,000 for the first year and \$30,000 each year thereafter. Details of this cost estimate are as follows:

- Hazardous Waste Storage Building and Installation \$85,000
- Fit out and Signage \$10,000
- Expendables (per year) \$12,000
- Pick up and Disposal (per year) \$13,000
- Advertising and Outreach (Year 1) \$15,000 (\$5,000 each year thereafter)

As this program is not included in our FY 26 approved budget, we would fund this program through our Environmental Cost Center (where costs are allocated 64.5% to the County and 35.5% to the City) with net costs and savings at the end-of-year true-up. We would pursue any available Federal, State and private grants to support this program.

We have also developed two additional options to be considered.

**Option 1**, is an "add-on" to the base proposal described above. In addition to the full-time operation of the lithium battery collections at the ISWRC, RSWA staff would host five "roving" collection events during those calendar quarters when there are no HHW events (i.e. winter and summer). Roving collections would consist of two employees setting up a collection station at five one-day events located throughout the City and County. Batteries collected in these events would be taken to the ISWRC and placed in the storage building until the next regular pickup (currently envisioned as monthly). Details for implementing Option 2 are as follows:

- Staffing we could accomplish this with existing staff
- Materials (per year) \$4,000
- Expendables (per year) \$4,000
- Disposal (per year) \$4,000
- Advertising \$3,000

Total Additional Cost (Year 1) - \$15,000 Cost including Base Proposal: \$135,000 + \$15,000 = \$150,000 **Option 2**, is to expand the program to include all types of batteries (i.e., alkaline, NiCd, Lead-Acid, etc.). Based on our recent Household Hazardous Waste event, lithium batteries equate to about 25% of the total batteries collected during the two-day event. Therefore, we expect that collection of all batteries to far exceed lithium-only collections. The cost estimate for this option would include the equipment needed to move and manage the volume and weight of these batteries (which may reach one to two tons a month) as well as additional labor to manage the significant increase in effort. Details for this cost estimate are as follows:

- Hazardous Waste Storage Building and Installation \$130,000
- Fit out and Signage \$20,000
- Expendables (per year) \$30,000
- Pick up and Disposal (per year) \$45,000
- Forklift \$50,000
- Advertising and Outreach (Year 1) \$15,000 (\$5,000 each year thereafter)
- 2 new staff (fully loaded cost per year) \$150,000

Total Cost for Option 1 (Year 1) - \$440,000 (\$230,000 each year thereafter)

If implemented, we believe that this program could be operational within six months due to the expected delivery and installation time for the building.

#### **Board Action Requested**:

Authorize the Executive Director to proceed with the implementation of a Lithium Battery Collection and Disposal Program and five local collections with first-year costs totaling about \$150,000.



## Lithium Battery Collection & Disposal Program

Presented to the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority Board of Directors by Phil McKalips, Director of Solid Waste

July 22, 2025

## Proposal

- Offer a Lithium Battery collection and disposal program at the Ivy Convenience Center.
   First-year cost of \$150k.
- Would require a dedicated hazardous waste storage building (fire suppression, fire detection, climate control) to collect the batteries with periodic disposal by our HHW contractor.



• Program would include a vigorous advertisement program and would be funded through the Environmental Cost Center. (64.5% County / 35.5% City)
# Background



- As discussed in the Executive Director's report in May, it appears that lithium batteries are causing fires in our transfer waste stream (one station fire, two trailer fires, at least two fires on the transfer station floor).
- Our waste disposal contract is with Republic Services. They subcontract hauling to a company named MBI.
- MBI indicates the current risk to their equipment may not be sustainable. This may mean difficulty in obtaining hauling contractors or significant increases in costs. (Waste Trailers cost ~\$125,000)

## Proposal

- We cannot reasonably inspect or scan the materials we receive to exclude lithium batteries. We remove and isolate the batteries we find.
- We rely on the public to exclude unacceptable materials from the waste stream.
- Currently, the only options the public has to dispose of lithium batteries are:
  - the trash
  - Lowes (if you can find the battery bin)
  - Staples (not all the sales-people know that Staples takes them)
  - Batteries+ (they accept them for free but you have to purchase a box to return them in)
  - HHW days
- The public needs more opportunities to dispose of these batteries safely.

## Cost

Building and Installation \$85,000
Fit out and signage \$10,000
Expendables (per year) \$12,000
Pickup and Disposal (per year) \$13,000
Advertising and Outreach (Year 1) \$15,000 Total Cost (Year 1) \$135,000



- Advertising (annually thereafter)
- Ongoing Annual Cost

\$5,000 \$30,000

- Advertising and Outreach funding leveraged from partners will be deducted from the budget proposed above.
- This program would be funded by any savings at the year-end true-up.

# Option 1

Base Proposal plus 5 "Roving" collections during calendar quarters when there are no HHW events (i.e., winter and summer). Roving collections would consist of two employees, setting up a table to collect lithium batteries at 5, one-day events located throughout the City and County.

- Staffing we could accomplish this with existing staffing.
- Materials (per year) \$4,000
- Expendables (per year) \$4,000
- Disposal (per year) \$4,000
- Advertising \$3,000 (in addition to Advertising and Outreach during Base Proposal
- Total Cost (Year 1) \$15,000
- Cost including Base Proposal, \$135,000 + \$15,000 = \$150,000

# Option 2

Includes accepting all types of batteries (alkaline, NiCd, etc.)

- Building \$130,000
- Fit out and signage \$20,000
- Expendables (per year) \$30,000
- Pickup and Disposal \$45,000
- Forklift \$50,000
- Advertising same (\$15,000 Year 1, \$5,000 per year thereafter)
- 2 new personnel to manage (fully loaded cost per year) \$150,000
- Total Cost (Year 1) \$440,000; \$230,000 annually thereafter



## Questions?



### **Board Action Requested**:

Authorize the Executive Director to proceed with the implementation of a Lithium Battery Collection and Disposal Program and five local collections with first-year costs totaling about \$150,000.

## Optimizations & Improvements to ISWRC Scale House Transaction Process



Presented to Board of Directors By Stephanie Deal, Finance Manager July 22, 2025

## **Ivy Scale Operations**



- Modify payment types to only accept credit/debit cards and checks; excluding cash as a method of payment.
- Upon review of the financial controls and procedures, we identified areas for improvement & optimization that focused on cash handling and oversight.
- After reviewing with the Directors, it was decided to pursue the removal of cash as a method of payment.

### Cash on Hand



	Cash	Checks
Cash Drawer Balance	\$1,000	
Daily Average Received	\$1,200	\$1,500
Average Deposit-		
every 3-5 days*	\$3,600 - \$6,000	\$4,500 - \$7,500
	¢ 4 700 φ7 400	
*Possible to have on site:	\$4,700 - \$7,100	\$4,500 - \$7,500

#### **RSWA - Ivy Solid Waste & Recycling Center**

#### Payment Method Comparison: FY 2024 & FY 2025

4

	Payments by Type			
Fiscal Year	Credit Cards	Cash	Checks	<b>Total Receipts</b>
2024	675,235	326,937	187,383	1,189,555
2025	841,350	338,310	233,372	1,413,032
	\$ 1,516,585	\$ 665,247	\$ 420,755	\$ 2,602,587

% of Total by Type			
<b>Credit Card</b>	Cash	Check	
57%	27%	16%	
60%	24%	17%	
58%	<b>26</b> %	<b>16</b> %	



#### RSWA - Ivy Solid Waste & Recycling Center

Transaction Count by Payment Method: FY 2024 & FY 2025

	Transaction Count by Type			
Fiscal Year	Credit Cards	Cash	Checks	Total Count
2024	22859	22081	3272	48212
2025	25293	21146	3102	49541
	\$ 48,152	\$ 43,227	\$ 6,374	\$ 97,753

% of Total by Type			
Credit Card	Cash	Check	
47%	46%	7%	
51%	43%	6%	
49%	44%	7%	



### Pros:

1. Improves security and reduces the risk of theft and fraud. No cash on site overnight.

2. Increases the efficiency of the scale house which may reduce wait times.

3. Eliminates need for armored truck service for deposits = ~\$10k in annual savings.

4. Eliminates trips to the bank by staff for change.

5. No longer holding daily cash deposits for 3-5 days. Remote deposit of our checks with bank, same day.

- 6. Reduced health impacts from handling cash.
- 7. Quicker daily transactions close-out.

### Cons:

- 1. Impacts cash customers.
- Increases credit card fees

   approx. \$10k if all cash customers moved to credit/debit cards

3. Could discourage use of facility and increase illegal dumping.

4. Increase in customer accounts and additional billing/collection costs.



### Summary:

- While a significant number of our transactions are paid by cash, the largest amount of dollars are generated by credit card.
- We anticipate a change to credit/debit or check will decrease the wait time to clear the scale house which would help all customers waiting in line.
- Reduces the risks related to the amount of cash held on site at any given time.



## Questions?

### **Action Requested by the Board**:

Approve a change to the transaction process to accept only credit/debit cards or checks as payment at the Ivy Solid Waste and Recycling Center starting on Monday, October 6, 2025.

## **Strategic Plan Update**

Sugar Hollow Dam & Reservoir

ZIVANNA

AUTHORITIES

Presented to the RSWA and RWSA Boards of Directors

By Betsy Nemeth, Director of Administration & Communications

July 22, 2025

### VISION

To serve the community as a recognized leader in environmental stewardship by providing exceptional water and solid waste services

### MISSION

Our knowledgeable and professional team serves the Charlottesville, Albemarle, and UVA community by providing high-quality water and wastewater treatment, refuse, and recycling services in a financially responsible and sustainable manner

VALUES The Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority and Rivanna Solid Waste Authority are committed to the following values: Integrity Teamwork Respect Quality

## VALUES

- Integrity We are open and transparent, lead by example, and are committed to ethical behavior.
- Teamwork We work collaboratively to help each other succeed and serve the community.
- Respect We treat our fellow employees, customers, business partners, and stakeholders with dignity and respect by embracing their diverse backgrounds and experiences.
- Quality We deliver exceptional services and products, serve our community responsibly, and safeguard natural resources.



# Optimization & Resiliency

Advancing efficient operational processes

#### <u>Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen</u> (TKN)Testing Method

- Reduction in labor time from 12 hours to 3 hours resulting in a savings of \$330 per test.
- Reduction in hazardous waste generation from 2 liters to 25 milliliters.
- Higher capacity can test up to 25 samples at once instead of 14 samples.
- Decrease in cost of supplies from \$200 per test to \$125 per test.
- Average annual cost saving of approximately \$10,000.

Debra Hoyt, Chemist

## Environmental Stewardship

Promote best practices in Sustainability Solar Cells at Rivanna Facilities

00000000000000

Moores Creek Administration Building

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Fee a de la company

Ragged Mountain Raw Water Pump Station



## <u>Communication &</u> <u>Collaboration</u>

Proactive Communication & Community Involvement

- Riverfest
- Fix A Leak
- Imagine A Day Without WaterTours
  - Blue Ridge School
  - Greer Elementary
  - Peabody School
  - Woodbrook Elementary
  - Mountain View Elementary
  - UVA Starr Hill Pathways Program
  - UVA Public Health
- Social Media Facebook, Instagram
- Press Releases
- Websites
  - Rivanna.org
  - Rivannasolidwaste.org
  - Rivannawater.org



## Planning & Infrastructure

Addressing the evolving drinking water needs of our community

### Ongoing Community Water Supply Projects

- Ragged Mountain to the Observatory WTP Raw Water Line and Pump Station
- South Fork Rivanna River Crossing
- Ragged Mountain Reservoir Pool Raise
- Central Water Line
- South Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mountain Reservoir Pipeline, Intake, and Facilities

**Kimley** »Horn



PUMP ROOM 3D VIEW



RIVANNA WATER AND SEWER AUTHO 695 MOORES CREEK LANE CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22902 (434) 977-2970



RAGGED MOUNTAIN RAW WATER PUMP STATION

PM04

### Workforce Development

Develop a professional, highly-skilled, engaged, and diverse team

**Succession Planning** 

## Objective

Continue organizational growth and development of the Authorities by

Recognizing, developing and retaining leadership talent and

#### Strategically planning for our future

#### **Succession Planning Information from 2023**

Presentation from Bill Mawyer to both Boards



### **Recent Success Stories**





## RSWA Career Ladder



David Rhoades, Solid Waste Manager

#### **RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY** Organizational Chart

FY 2025 – 2026 Adopted Budget



### **RWSA Career Ladders – Administrative Departments**









**RWSA Finance Team** 



#### **RWSA Career Ladders – Operations Departments**



### **RWSA Career Ladders – Engineering & Maintenance Departments**





Dyon Vega & Austin Marrs, Engineering

RWSA Maintenance Team



## What's Next?

- Review of staffing needs and succession planning for FY 2027 through FY 2031.
- "Communicate with Impact" training for new Managers.
- Individual leadership coaching for newly promoted Managers.

# **Questions?**